PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

MEMORANDUM 18-23

DATE:	June 4, 2018
TO:	Honorable Mayor Carol Dodge and City Council Members
FROM:	James A. Hayes, AICP, City Manager JH Brook Svoboda, Director of Planning and Development Becky Smith, AICP, Planning Manager
SUBJECT:	Sustainability Program Update

PURPOSE

Staff and the Consultant team (ICLEI and EPS) will be presenting City Council with the Sustainability Targets, Indicators and Possible Strategies that the internal Sustainability Team developed. EPS will also be presenting Cost-Benefit Analyses on two possible strategies the team identified.

Staff is seeking to engage the City Council to provide feedback and direction on the following:

- Sustainability Targets, Indicators and Possible Strategies
- Cost-Benefit Analyses: Electric Vehicles and Synthetic Turf
- Creation on Sustainability Advisory Task Force
 - Number of representatives
 - Mix of representatives

BACKGROUND

This project was first presented to City Council at the April 16, 2018 Study Session. At that time, the consultant provided a summary of the project method and introduced the vision and high level goals that the internal Sustainability Team developed.

The Goal of the Northglenn Sustainability Work Program is to develop a Work Program that sets a vision and high level goals for the City to work towards becoming more environmentally, fiscally and socially sustainable. Attachment 1, *Targets, Indicators and Possible Strategies*, identifies how the City can track progress towards the high level sustainability goals. The targets can be measured by the indicators listed. The possible strategies listed are actions staff identified that can be explored to make progress towards the sustainability targets. The assessment matrix to the right of the possible strategies identifies items that need to be considered or studied prior to deciding to move forward with an action. The colors associated with the matrix identify at a very high level the following:

- Green = lower cost, easy, minimum resources
- Yellow = mid-level cost, medium difficulty, some resources required
- Red = higher cost, difficult, resources required

EPS conducted cost-benefit analyses (Attachment 2) on two possible strategies, converting existing field(s) to synthetic turf to conserve water and transitioning the fleet to electric vehicles. The analysis provided for each serves as an example of a decision-making tool Council can use to inform whether or not they would like to move forward with a possible strategy. While some

strategies might be less complicated to implement, such as setting all computers to default print on double sided paper, many on the list require additional study.

UPDATE

N/A

BUDGET IMPLICATIONS

N/A

SCHEDULE/TIME IMPLICATIONS

N/A

NEXT STEPS/CITY COUNCIL OPTIONS

- Creation of Sustainability Advisory Task Force consisting of both internal and external stakeholder representation to review the Sustainability Work Program and provide feedback
- Solicit meaningful participation on the Sustainability Work Program from community and City stakeholders
- Summer 2018 Adoption of Sustainability Vision and Goals with work program to support progress towards reaching those goals

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

N/A

STAFF REFERENCE

Brook Svoboda, Director of Planning and Development, <u>bsvoboda@northglenn.org</u>, 303.450.8937 Becky Smith, AICP, Planning Manager, <u>bsmith@northglenn.org</u> 303.450.8741 Ashley Kaade, Senior Planner, <u>akaade@northglenn.org</u> 303.450.8836

ATTACHMENTS

Targets, Indicators and Possible Strategies
Sustainability Strategies Cost-Benefit Analysis – Electric Vehicles
and Synthetic Turf
Presentation

Resource Conservation

1. Significantly decrease overall community consumption (residents and businesses), specifically the consumption of non-local, non-renewable, non-recyclable and non-recycled materials, water, and energy and fuels.

2. The City will demonstrate leadership by encouraging sustainable procurement, extended producer responsibility and modeling innovative strategies to become a zero-waste city.

3. Within renewable limits, encourage the use of local, non-polluting, renewable and recycled resources (water, energy, and material resources).

			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co Benefits
 diversion rate to 20% by 2023 Become a "zero waste" city by 2050 Implement citywide composting program by 2030 Establish a business recycling program by 2019 	Solid Waste • Generation • Landfilled • Diversion Recycling Rate • Paper • Glass	Adopt new ordinances, such as "Pay-As-You-Throw." (Ordinance is the only way to control individual diversion rates)	•		•	•		•	waste reduction, cost savings, behavior shift, increased revenue potential
	• Metals	Identify and evaluate innovations in waste reduction	•	•	•	•	•	•	cleaner city, cost savings
		Provide compost containers at all City events	•	•	•	•	•		cleaner city, behavior/culture shift
		Standardize household waste requirements (note:currently recycle waste is being sent to landfill due to market conditions for recyclable materials. If considered, should be a discussion aobut what truly is best for environment/cost)				•			cleaner city, cost savings
		Rebate program for backyard composting	•		•	•			cleaner city, positive incentive, culture shift

			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co Benefits
		Conduct a waste audit of City facilities (include print management policies to reduce higher printing cost and reduce waste)			•	•	•		•
									understanding of city waste streams & priority areas for waste reduction
		Help fund a recycling program to support business recycling (incentives, equipment, trucks, roll offs, polycarts)			•	•			behavior/culture
									shift, green business development, cost savings
		Business recycling ordinance			•	•			•
									green business development, cost savings,culture shift
		Operationalize Medical Prescription Drop Off – annual semi annual or drop off compliant location (consider working with local pharmacies & medical facilities)			•	•	•		reduction of
									pharmaceuticals and chemical hazards in waste stream
		Create a recycling and compost station that has city staff available to assist people in how to direct materials	•	•	•	•			•
									improved divestment, behavior shift

			ASSESSMENT							
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co Benefits	
		Eliminate individual roll offs and special pickups in favor of designated Ward round up days to save in operational costs and use savings to start other initiatives	•				•	•	cost savings,	
		Reduce yard waste operation hours to peak times in order to offset operation cost and put savings towards other higher yield programs.					•		revenue	
									cost savings, revenue	
 Reduce petroleum-based fuel use by 15% by 2023 in the municipal fleet Reduce vehicle miles traveled for all municipal fleet by 15% by 2023 from 2017 levels Convert to all alternative 	Municipal Fleet • Fuel Use • VMT	Implement route optimization of solid waste and recycling collection						•		
		Review fleet composition to ensure that vehicles are matched to the job and not to the individual			•	•		•	efficiency, effectiveness	
for passenger sedans by 2030		Purchase alternative/renewable energy fleet vehicles are turned over and/or CNG for light duty trucks and up				•		•	•	
									City as leader in EV, culture shift	
		Review opportunities to share large, heavy duty vehicles across departments	•		•		•	•	Reduction in resource need, culture shift, interdepartmental cooperation	
		Develop a non-motorized transportation policy for employees in the duties of their position – PD & Code on Bikes vs cars.						•	Reduction in resource need, culture shift, healthy transportation	

			ASSESSMENT								
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co Benefits		
		Standardize City vehicles to make maintenance consistent among the fleet						•	Efficiency, cost		
									savings		
		Add EV charging stations at City facilities	•	•		•	•	•	EV encouragement, culture shift, public access		
		Consider a city stipend or car allowance for personal car usage to reduce fleet requirements and usage				•	•	•	Potential cost savings		
		Incorporate co-generation at the WWTP using on-site biosolids	•		•	•			Green power generation, waste management		
		Implement more frequent facility/equipment maintenance schedule	•	•	•	•	•	•	Cost savings, efficiency, effectiveness		
		Install energy efficient equipment upgrade for municipal facilities, streetlights							Cost savings, efficiency, effectiveness		

			ASSESSMENT							
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co Benefits	
Reduce Wastewater Treatment Plant energy use by 20% by 2023 Reduce municipal energy usage by 25% by 2030 Reduce community-wide commercial energy usage	Energy Use/Generation Total municipal use Total citywide use 	Implement community outreach campaign around LED lights	•	•	•			•	Cost savings, community education, culture shift	
by 15% by 2025 • Reduce community-wide residential energy usage by 15% by 2025		Leverage any and all municipal, commercial, residential XCEL Programs to meet Targets			•				Cost savings, community education, culture shift	
		Evaluate partnership opportunities with the Colorado GEO.	•				•	•	•	
		Adopt IECC 2018 code					•	•	Efficient buildings	
		Green Business Challenge, Xcel business programs	•	•	•	•	•	•	Efficient buildings, reduced electricity usage, green business development	
		Deploy a marketing campaign that targets businesses and residents to encourage them to implement measures that work towards achieving water conservation goals			•			•	Reduced water usage, green business development, community education	

			ASSESSMENT							
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co Benefits	
		Put a new roof on City Hall to increase energy efficiency	•		•	•	•	•	•	
									City facility as pilot site/leadership, energy efficiency, green building, cost savings	
		Budget the standard deviation of utility usage and put the extra towards capital improvements in renewable energy and energy efficient upgrades							Cost savings	
 Reduce Potable Water use by 17-20 % by 2021 and by 25% by 2030 Reduce Non-Potable Water use by 15% by 2021 	Water Use Total citywide use (self-sufficiency) Total citywide use (per capita) 	Consider Infrastructure life cycle costs, for example Reduce KY bluegrass turf with low water options in city parks and facilities	•	•		•		•	Cost savings, water savings	
 Decrease total & per capita water usage by 5% each year from the previous 5 years average Increase water efficiency of businesses by 17-20% Decrease total water 	Potable vs. non-potable	Residential, commercial, municipal water conservation programs	•	•	•	•	•	•	Cost savings, community education, culture shift	
usage for parks landscaping by 15% by 2025		Increase Garden in a Box program participation	•	•	•		•	•	Cost savings, community education, culture shift	
		Study: Possible recapture WWTP to use as non- potable irrigation for parks system (use old force main pipe to send back to NG from Section 36)	•		•	•			Reduced stress on fresh water sources	

			ASSESSMENT							
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co Benefits	
		Study Evaluation: Non-potable water for Parks irrigation system – could help with reduction of per capita usage			•					
									Reduced stress on fresh water sources	
		Adopt low impact development requirements in the UDO				•				
		Increase water rates							Green buildings, water conservation	
						•	•	•	Co-benefits: Culture shift, revenue generation, community education	
		Xericscape yard awards in lieu of yard of the month to encourage yards that require minimum irrigation		•					•	
									Culture shift, community education, water conservation	
		Focus a marketing campaign towards Multifamily residential to encourage low impact development & xericscaping to reduce the irrigation water usage							Culture shift, community education, water conservation	

			ASSESSMENT							
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co Benefits	
		Increase sprinkler system efficiency in Parks through improved equipment and sprinkler layout								
									Efficiency, reduced fresh water usage, cost savings	
		Replace pressure valve that moves water from Stanley Lake to the Water Treatment Plant with a turbine - Use the energy created by the turbine to offset energy used at the WTP	•			•			Energy savings, green energy generation	
		Voluntary year round water restrictions				•				
									Community education, water conservation, culture shift	
		Replace pump at EB Rains to allow irrigation with non- potable water throughout the park								
									Reduces stress on fresh water sources	
		Explore the cost vs water savings for recirculation pumps at splash pad for Memorial Parkway (and existing splash pad a EB Rains)								
									cost or water savings	
		Rebate program for low flow toilets and shower heads								
									Community education, culture shift, water conservation	

			ASSESSMENT							
TARGETS	INDICATORS	Explore the possibility of eliminating requirements for	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co Benefits	
		Explore the possibility of eliminating requirements for paper contracts and use e-signatures instead	•	•	•		•		Culture shift, waste reduction,	
		Create e-forms for businesses and residents to conduct City business			•		•	•	efficiency, simplicity Culture shift, waste reduction.	
		Issue Ipads/tablets to employees and Council to eliminate the need to print agendas and materials for every meeting	•			•		•	efficiency, simplicity	
									Waste reduction, culture shift, efficiency	
• Decrease paper use in City operations by 15% by 2021	Paper usage	Set up all conference rooms with computer system and screen to reduce the need for printing paper materials							Waste reduction, culture shift, efficiency, culture shift	
		Set computers/printers to default on double sided paper (evaluate the most cost effective printing methods)							Easy to do, waste reduction, efficiency, culture shift	

			ASSESSMENT							
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co Benefits	
 5% of Northglenn businesses participating in Xcel's Renewable Energy program by 2021 30% of Northglenn single family homes with solar PV installed by 2030 10% of electricity use in city owned buildings is on- site renewables by 2030 	Renewable energy mix • Municipal • Business • Residents	Explore Renewable Connect Programs and outreach support offered by Xcel		•	•				Green business development, divestment in fossil fuels, community education, local clean energy development	
		Codes for new home development of a certain size require solar installed by builder		•	•				Green buildings, divestment in fossil fuels, community education, local clean energy development, culture shift	
		Add Commercial for Green Roof program – solar/landscaped roofing			•				Green buildings, divestment in fossil fuels, community education, local clean energy development, culture shift	

			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co Benefits
		Incentivize residential solar installation through a reba program	te		•	•			
									Green buildings, divestment in fossil fuels, community education, local clean energy development, culture shift
		Implement a Sustainable Public Procurement policy	•		•				Culture shift, community education, cost savings
		Explore local sourcing for City apparel/uniforms			•				Culture shift, community education, local economy development, potential cost savings
		Supply sharing to reduce waste	•	•	•	•			Culture shift, employee education, interdepartmental collaboration, waste reducation

			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co Benefits
• Increase the percentage of City spending identified as sustainable spend by 10% by 2020 and by 30% by 2025	Sustainable Procurement	Work with vendors that operate at City Events to include sustainable products (e.g. food truck carnival)	•	•	•	•	•	•	Culture shift, community education, investment in local businesses
 100% of municipal buildings designed in 2019 and later will strive to achieve LEED GOLD standards As of 2020, all renovated commercial spaces of 	Construction Materials	Review LEED standards to the current adopted building code (IECC)		•	•	•	•	•	Culture shift, green buildings
50,000 square feet or more must submit a construction and demolition waste management plan as described by USGBC's LEED v4		Evaluate USGBC standards for new development over 50,000 SF		•	•	•	•	•	Culture shift, green buildings

Environmental and Public Health

1. Protect and enhance environmental health and public health by minimizing and where possible eliminating:

a. The use of hazardous or toxic materials by residents, businesses and city operations;

- b. The levels of pollutants entering the air, soil and water; and
- c. The risks that environmental problems pose to human and ecological health.
- 2. Ensure that no one geographic or socioeconomic group in the city is being unfairly impacted by environmental pollution.

3. Increase consumption of fresh, locally produced, organic produce to promote public health and to minimize resource consumption and negative environmental impacts.

			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/con tinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
 Increase number of 	Food Access	See food access assessment report							
community gardens/markets	 %of people who grow their 								
by 15% by 2023	own food								equity food
	 Distance living from fresh 								access nutrition
 Increase number of 	produce access								public health risks
participants/members for	• Number of HEAL businesses								reduction
community gardens by 15%	Number of community	Work with food providers							reduction
2021	gardens	(Grocery/Restaurants) to connect with service							
_	• % of food cost as part of	providers (churches/non-profits)							
Decrease average									
residential distance from	• Socioeconomic								
fresh produce access by	low food accoss								
15% by 2030	low lood access								food waste
a increase the enrollment of									reduction, food
the properties of SNAP									access, nutrition,
eligible but not enrolled in									public health risks
the program by 25% by 2025									reduction,
									community
Increase participation of									building
HEAL Businesses 5% by									C C
2020									

						ASSESSI	/IEN I		
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/con tinued funding	n Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
		Collaborate with Food for Hope, Growing Home and Adams 12 Five Star School District to help connect Northglenn residents in need	t 🔵		•				
		of food assistance with resources and servic that provide that	es						food waste reduction, food access, nutrition, public health risks reduction, community building
		Expand on existing partnerships and program at the recreation center, such as the summer lunch program for kids and the Rocky Mountain Food Bank program offered	IS •		•				
									food waste reduction, food access, nutrition, public health risks reduction, equity
		Community Garden Program that utilizes underutilized commercial and non profit properties for community gardens that "could be used for farmer's market food sales and/c to food banks (community lead effort / start u by city).	, r p		•	•	•		equity, food access, nutrition, public health risks reduction, community education, community building
		Community garden water rebate program				•	•		
									equity, food access, nutrition, public health risks reduction

						ASSESSIN	/IEIN I		
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/cor tinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
		Assess primary barriers to SNAP enrollment and find ways to reduce barriers and motivate individuals that are eligible to enroll in the			•	•			
		program							equity, food access, nutrition, public health risks reduction, community education
Decrease volume of	Hazardous/toxic materials	Industrial Uses Assessment Program –							
material use from city and	Amount of materials used by City of Northgland	storage / recycle / maintenance.							
businesses by 10% by 2020	Materials used by businesses								
related to material exposure	Neighborhoods affected by								community
by City staff by 2030	materials								education, public
Decrease number of	• Number of city staff exposed	Food Oil Waste Recycle Program – connect							nearth
affected	to hazardous material	restaurants with 3 rd party food oil recovery end							
neighborhoods/sites,	Number of brownfield sites	users (Bio Diesel) – instead of paying to haul,							
income and communities of		could be paid to take away							cost savings,
color by 30% by 2030									waste reduction,
• 10% decrease in loading of									hazard reduction
FOG at the wastewater treatment plant by 2025 • Achieve 100% compliance		policies for drop off and collection of food oil materials			•		•	•	
with the cross-connection									cost savings,
program by end of 2020									waste reduction,
									hazard reduction
		City Fleet Incident response vehicle – develop							
		a 1 st responder vehicle to hazardous spills							
		(triage/stabilization until 3 rd party crew arrives							
									public health,
									emergency
		Upgrade City force main and lift stations				+	+		response
		opgrade ony force main and int stations					•		
									public health

				ASSESSMENT					
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/con tinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
		Provide salary incentives for obtaining state license to handle hazardous material (migh lead to better application of pesticides at parks?)	e t			•	•	•	
		Implement third party compliance monitorin for cross-connection and black flow program	ng m				•	•	
		Education and information to Single Family							public health
		and Multi-Family residential properties about things to not put down the drain (Fat, Oil, & Grease (FOG) program)	ut	•	•	•		•	community education, culture shift
		Continue education to the business commu about pre-industrial treatment and grease interceptor requirements	inity		•			•	community education, culture shift, waste management
		Develop Standard Operating Procedures fo emergency situations that involve hazardou materials	or IS		•	•	•		public health, efficiency, emergency response
		Explore using alternative pesticides at City Parks, trails and properties and or reduce th usage of pesticides by implementing best practices in spraying (consider volunteer "weed puller" program for parks to offset the increased weeds)	he o						reduced chemicals, reduced environmental impact, cost savings

		ASSESSMENT				1EN I			
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/cor tinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
• Decrease level of stormwater, air and raw water quality pollutants by	Pollution • Water quality • Soil contamination	Conduct a GHG inventory to set baseline to set targets			•				
20% by 2025 • Develop no smoking in public places	 Air quality Stormwater quality Neighborhoods affected 								identification of priority areas, establishing data collection practices
		Adopt air quality standards for industrial and commercial uses				•			
									public health, pollution reduction
		Implement community and school educational campaign on pollution			•	•	•		
									public health, community education, culture shift
		Adopt ordinance prohibiting smoking on public grounds			•	•	•		
									public health, community education, culture shift
		Take a more proactive approach to enforce the City's adopted Watershed Protection Ordinance (This action would require additional staff, but reducing the amount of			•		$\overline{}$		
		pollutants in the raw water will reduce the amount of treatment required)							community education, culture shift, reduced water treatment.
									cleaner water

			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/cor tinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
		Develop BMPs for water quality using non- traditional methods (vegetation that cleans stormwater)			•	•			
									green
									infrastructure,
									reduced water
									treatment, cleaner
									water, more
									sustainable
									integration of
									infrastructure,
									aestrically
		Alternative fertilizer program			•				
									reduced
									chemicals,
									reduced waste

Transportation

1. Create a multi-modal transportation system that minimizes and, where possible, eliminates pollution and motor vehicle congestion while ensuring safe mobility and access for all without compromising our ability to protect public health and safety.

2. Facilitate a reduction in automobile dependency in favor of affordable alternative, sustainable modes of travel.

						ASSESSI	MENT		
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
 Increase number of students biking or walking to school by 25% by 2025 Install 10 miles of on-street bicycle facilities by 2023 Increase number of bikes refurbished in the Bike Program participants by 15% by 2020 	Active transportation • Number of cyclists/pedestrians • Number of bike paths • Number of participants in Bike program • Modal shift to active transportation	Adopt Vision Zero policy		•	•	•	•	•	reduced mortality, public health, walkable neighborhoods
 Zero traffic incidents involving cyclist/pedestrians by 2030 Increase modal shift to active transportation based on baseline data by 2020 Increase participation in Derby Day bike rodeo by 10% annually Install 100 bike racks around the community by 2028 	• Traffic counts • Transit Ridership • Business Bike Rack Program • Traffic accidents	Adopt Complete Streets Policy		•	•	•	•	•	reduced mortality, public health, walkable neighborhoods
		Update Standards and Specs	•		•	•	•	•	reduced mortality, public health, walkable neighborhoods
		Implement Connect NG			•				increased connectivity, increased mobility

		ASSESSMENT							
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
		-CDBG	•	•	•	•			
		-Residential streets program	•	•	•	•		•	
		Troffic colming							mobility, reduced motality, walkable neighborhoods
		- Tranic Caming	•		•				increased mobility, reduced mortality, walkable neighborhoods
		Life cycle cost program for sidewalks a trails	and		•	•	•		efficiency
		Continue to grow Bike Repair Program (potential to maybe incorporate into Neighborhood Engagement or increas marketing to influence donations)	e	•	•			•	increased mobility, public health, active transportation, equity

			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
		Bike rack grant program			•	•			
									increased mobility, culture shift, public health, active transportation
		Pursue grant funding to complete infrastructure improvements and/or purchase/install equipment like bike racks or bicycle repair stations		•	•				increased mobility, culture shift, public health, active transportation
		Install 10 bike racks annually	•	•		•	•	•	
									increased mobility, culture shift, public health, active transportation
		Wayfinding	•						
									increased mobility, culture shift, public health, active transportation

			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
		Implement a pedestrian counts program			•	•	•		
		Offer bike safety classes			•	•			data collection
									reduced injuries, public health, active transportation
		Offering bike parking for events (or bike valet)			•	•			
									increased mobility, culture shift, public health, active transportation
		Regional bike share			•	•			•
									increased mobility, culture shift, public health, active transportation
		Designate a City Staff from Public Work Engineering to attend CDOTs Bicycle Facility Design workshop annually to learn best practices and liability considerations	s						employee training, culture shift

					ASSESSMENT				
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
 Increase transit ridership by 30% by 2020 All neighborhoods served by at least one RTD bus route / connector to light rail by 2020 Increase number of attainable 	Public Transit • Ridership trends • Number of routes • Cost of fare • Neighborhoods served • Modal shift to transit	Participate in semi-annual formal consultations with RTD to raise issues of transit reliability, affordability and access	•		•	•	•		employee training, culture shift
housing sites/units served by transit by 10% by 2025	Transit asses condition	Collaborate with SmartCommute to educate and promote public transit for businesses and their employees	•		•	•	•		culture shift, community
									education, increased mobility
		NG employee use – Ecopass/subsidies/incentives etc.				•			
									culture shift, increased mobility, employee education
		First mile program improvements from transit stops				•			
									increased mobility, increased access, equity, increased connectivity
		Implementation of regional bike share to help with first and last mile challenges				•			
									increased mobility, increased access, equity, active transportation

		ASSESSMENT						
INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
	Pursue grant funding for transit stop enhancements			•	•			
								increased mobility, increased access, equity, active transportation
	Market transportation options to all residents; target top LMI census tracks with public transit opportunities			•	•			
								increased mobility, increased access, equity, active transportation
Electric Vehicles Number of public chargers 	Ref UDO Policy revisions			•	•	•		EV expansion, culture shift
	City lead Pilot Program – Civic Campus	•		•	•	•		EV expansion, culture shift
Sustainable transportation system • Commute times • Daily miles traveled • Road Condition	RTD light rail and regional bus service	•						increased mobility, increased access, equity, increased
	Electric Vehicles • Number of public chargers Sustainable transportation system • Commute times • Daily miles traveled • Road Condition	INDICATORS POSSIBLE STRATEGIES Pursue grant funding for transit stop enhancements Pursue grant funding for transit stop enhancements Market transportation options to all residents; target top LMI census tracks with public transit opportunities Electric Vehicles • Number of public chargers Ref UDO Policy revisions City lead Pilot Program – Civic Campus Sustainable transportation system • Commute times RTD light rail and regional bus service Sustainable transportation system • Commute times RTD light rail and regional bus service	INDICATORS POSSIBLE STRATEGIES Initial Capital investment required Pursue grant funding for transit stop enhancements Pursue grant funding for transit stop enhancements Image: Comparison options to all residents; target top LMI census tracks with public transit opportunities Image: Comparison options to all residents; target top LMI census tracks with public transit opportunities Image: Comparison option options to all residents; target top LMI census tracks with public transit opportunities Image: Comparison option op	INDICATORS POSSIBLE STRATEGIES Initial Capital investment investment required Ongoing/continued investment investment required Ongoing/continued investment required Ongoing/contententent required Ongoing/content requ	INDICATORS POSSIBLE STRATEGIES Initial Capital investment required Ongoing/co ntinued transfer resources Additional staff resources Pursue grant funding for transit stop enhancements Pursue grant funding for transit stop enhancements Image: Colored Staff Additional staff Market transportation options to all residents; target top LMI census tracks with public transit opportunities Image: Colored Staff Image: Colored Staff	INDICATORS POSSIBLE STRATEGIES Initial Capital investment required Ongoing/co investment funding Additional staff resources Additional iser Pursue grant funding for transit stop enhancements Image: Comparison of the part resources Image: Compart resources <td< td=""><td>INDICATORS POSSIBLE STRATEGIES Initial Capital Investment required Ongoing/co Influed trading Additional Existing trading Existing Resource Carcel Resource Carcel Resource Additional Resource Existing Resource Carcel Resource Carcel Resource Carcel Resource Existing Resource Carcel Resource Carcel Resource</td><td>INDICATORS POSSIBLE STRATEGIES Initial Capital investment required Ongenergico intinueling Additional statistical residences Solution Pursue grant funding for transit stop enhancements Pursue grant funding for transit stop enhancements Image: Solution of the solution required Image: Solution required</td></td<>	INDICATORS POSSIBLE STRATEGIES Initial Capital Investment required Ongoing/co Influed trading Additional Existing trading Existing Resource Carcel Resource Carcel Resource Additional Resource Existing Resource Carcel Resource Carcel Resource Carcel Resource Existing Resource Carcel Resource Carcel Resource	INDICATORS POSSIBLE STRATEGIES Initial Capital investment required Ongenergico intinueling Additional statistical residences Solution Pursue grant funding for transit stop enhancements Pursue grant funding for transit stop enhancements Image: Solution of the solution required Image: Solution required

			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
		Promote SmartCommute drive share program			•	•			
									increased mobility, increased access, equity, increased connectivity
		Bike share			•				
									increased mobility, increased access, equity, active transportation
		Continue residential street improvement program by prioritizing based on PCI							
									increased mobility, efficiency
		Maintain RTD transit hub at 106 th Ave							
									increased mobility, efficiency, increased access
Increase employee participation in commuter programs by 20% by 2020 and by 40% by 2025	Municipal employee commute • Number of employees' community by car, bike/ped, transit etc.	Survey City employees to get a baseline information about employee commutes			•	•			
Increase number of city employees teleworking at least one day per week by 10% by	Number of employees' using commuter programs								data collection, GHG inventorying data

			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
2020 and 20% by 2025		Implement RTD Eco Pass program or similar program			•	•		•	
									employee education, increased access
		Instead of eco pass, the City purchased a block of passes to gauge interest as pilot project.			•	•		•	
									employee education, increased mobility, increased access, culture shift
		Ride Share Program – partner with Smar Commute Metro North (Karen Stewart) – Partner with SCMN and DRCOG Way to Go to educate employees on options available.			•	•		•	•
									employee education, increased access
		Implement telework policy						•	
									employee well being, flexibility, efficiency
		EV charger in Civic Center might influence employees' vehicle choice							
									culture shift, EV expansion

Sustainable Economy

1. Create a sustainable and diverse tax base that allows the City to maintain a high level of service for Northglenn residents and businesses.

2. Encourage private capital investment that contributes to job creation in Northglenn.

3. Reduce water consumption through policies that require low impact development.

4. Increase energy efficiency of City businesses through encouraging the use of alternative energy sources and partnerships with the City's energy provider.

5. Implement policies that create vibrant business centers through multi-model transportation options.

6. Create social opportunities that contribute to a sense of place and attracts both new residents to the community as well as retains existing residents and businesses.

7. Work to become a Smart City by fostering technologies that generate and aggregate data; analytical tools which convert that data into usable information. Encourage collaboration, innovation, and the application of that information to solve public problems.

			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/con tinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
Green Business Targets • Increase the bike racks in commercial centers 10% by 2020 • Implement a city recycling program for businesses in 2019 • Target for Increasing the number of green businesses will be established once a baseline is understood through the business survey (see strategies)	Green Business • Number of NG green business • Identify types of green programs and efforts business • Define utility programs available to businesses • Commercial bike racks	Green business challenge: Businesses that conserve resources (water, natural gas, and electric) add renewables, reduce waste, or otherwise support the city's sustainability objectives			•	•			green business development, energy efficiency, water conservation, waste reduction,
		Survey businesses on types of green initiatives businesses have in place in 2018	•	•	•	•	•	•	baseline of sustainability initiatives, culture shift, community education, data tracking
		Survey businesses to see if they would be interested in a city recycling program in 2018	•		-	•	•	•	community education, culture shift

			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/cor tinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
		Educate and market Xcel Energy and other programs to encourage							
		annually beginning in 2018							community education, culture shift, energy savings, cost savings, green business development
		Educate and market water conservation efforts annually beginning in 2018			•			•	
									community education, culture shift, water savings, cost savings, green business development
		Develop database to track green businesses and initiatives, and survey businesses every other year to track increases			•	•		•	community
									education, culture shift, green business development, data tracking
		Create a financial incentive program for businesses that make upgrades using green construction, materials, and equipment			•	•	•	•	•
									culture shift, green business development, waste reduction, circular economy

_	I		ASSESSMENT								
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/con tinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits		
		Develop public recognition to X businesses annually for community outreach efforts to support the city (Snow Stormers, HEAL, etc)			•	•	•		community		
 Increase private sector capital investments by25% by 2025 	Private sector capital investment Redevelopment Opportunities Number of industry sectors	Develop and implement a target industry strategy to attract businesses with quality jobs, and higher wages			•	•	•		building		
	 represented and # of businesses per sector Total number of businesses Total number of iobs 	Increase opportunities for businesses to							equity, sustainable economy		
	Programs/Grants to assist companies to invest in business improvements to maximize private sector	participate and engage in community outreach and business related efforts							community building		
	investments	Increase funding for grants for exterior, utility upgrades and improvements			•			•	community building, energy efficiency, gree buildings		
		Develop and fund new business assistance programs to assist companies with improvements, including interior improvement grants		•	•	•	•	•	energy efficienc green buildings green business		
Increase BRE (business retention & expansion) meetings by 15% by 2020	# of BRE visits	Stabilize and attract more retail businesses to increase sales taxes			•	•	•		development		
									sustainable economy		

			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/cor tinued funding	n Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
Maintain or increase the median income by 2020 Maintain or decrease unemployment rates through 2020	 Total jobs & increased average wages Job Growth Unemployment rates 	Attract higher waged employers			•	•	•		sustainable
 Strive to diversify businesses by 5% by 2025 Increase Shop Northglenn marketing efforts and programming by 5% by 2020 	 Sustainable tax base Total business Diversification of business sector 	Create an incubator/co-working space to support entrepreneurs, and for Northglenn residents that want to work in Northglenn			•	•		•	local economy development
		Develop a targeted industry sector plan to attract and retain diversified business sectors to increase jobs, capital investment, services and taxes	•		•	•		•	local economy development, sustainable economy
		Analyze and study commercial development and redevelopment opportunities within a 1 mile area of the transit station – suggest that maybe this done on time based cycle. We did this with the STAMP – Industrial Survey	•	•	•	•		•	local economy development, transit oriented development, reduced transportation emissions
		Develop a customer loyalty program for shoppers.	•		•	•	•		sustainable economy
• Investigate and develop a Smart City policy and strategy for the city by 2020	Smart City • Investigate best practices of other Smart City communities (technology, policies and initiatives) – to set indicators • Smart City Alliance Membership	Develop smart city marketing and branding campaign for residents and businesses	•		•	•	•	•	smart city development, strategic planning

8			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/con tinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
		Incorporate the data from the survey and business meetings into a database to track NG company initiatives and practices to track increased activities			•	•	•	•	•
									data tracking, strategic planning
		Educate and increase participation in the Smart City Alliance and other related programs					•		
									community education
		Identify in annual Business Survey, at retention calls, and meeting with new businesses what Smart City and sustainability efforts the company participates in starting in 2018-2019	•	•	•	•	•		data tracking
		Identify best practices that the city could incorporate			•	•	•	•	
									smart city development, strategic planning

Open Space and Land Use

1. Implement Land Use and Transportation policies that encourage open space, parks, trails and mixed use communities.

2. Implement Land Use policies that encourage high density residential and mixed use development where appropriate.

3. Lead the Northglenn community by way of example by transitioning City parks and facilities to use water conserving plants for landscaping.

4. Foster Community Pride through Environmental Stewardship.

5. Create a regional and well utilized parks and trails system with amenities that are attractive to people of all ages and abilities.

			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
Increase number of native/drought tolerant plant species planted in new city parks/facilities by 25% by 2020	Native or drought tolerant species Water usage for landscaping	Ref UDO – LID and Landscaping Req(s)			•				
Increase tree canopy by 10% by 2025	Iree Canopy								green city, efficiency, effectiveness
		Use Garden in a Box kits in City Gardens to be a role model and example for our citizens							
									city as leader, water conservation, community education, culture shift
		Ref Xeriscape Yard Awards – promoting low consumptive landscaping					•		water conservation, community education, culture shift
		Replace removed trees at a ratio of 1:2							
									green city, improved air quality, aesthics improvement

		ASSESSMENT							
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
Environmental Stewardship target • Maintain participation in recognition programs • Maintain or increase volunteer program	Environmental Stewardship • Awards • Number of volunteers	Grow volunteer adopt trail program	•		•	•	•		community education, culture shift, community engagement/ac tivation
 Improve park access to low income/people of color by 20% by 2038 Activate 50% of neighborhood of parks with a focus on parks located in or new areas of low/medium income Maintain 100% of paved trails accessible by bike/transit Maintain 100% of trails open throughout the year outside of maintenance and improvement 	Land Use • Total Households within 0.25 miles of park • Number of trails accessible by bike/transit • Number of socioeconomic breakdown of visitors	Integrate multimodal connections to parks prioritizing low income/people of color neighborhoods							increased access, equity, increased mobility, green city, increased connectivity
projects		Pocket parks	•	•	•	•		•	increased access, equity, green city, improved air quality
		Create a parks games and equipment library for people to check out items and actively use all of Northglenn's City Parks.							increased access, equity, waste reduction
Implement at least one nature-based solution each year beginning 2019	• # of nature based solutions implemented (both private and public)	Bioswales, green infrastructure, green roofs,etc.							green city, efficiency, effectiveness

Housing

1. Implement Land Use policies that create a diverse mix of housing that can accommodate all levels of homebuyers and renters.

2. Retain residents by providing new mid-level housing opportunities for next stage homebuyers and new business/social opportunities for residents in redevelopment projects.

3. Adopt policies that encourage Green and Healthy Homes.

			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
 Increase number of attainable housing units (rent as 30% of income) available in city by 10% by 2020 	 Socioeconomic breakdown of homeowners and renters Average rent and house prices Rent as proportion of income 	Ref STAMP – for target #3					•		increased access, equity
• Increase housing by transit hubs / job centers by 10% by 2020		Adopt policy – setting goals and guidelines for access to entry level housing			•	•	•		increased access, equity, human dignity
 Decrease total homeless by 10% by 2020 Increase placing individuals with available services to 10% by 2020 	 Homelessness Socioeconomic breakdown of homeless Number served by community organizations Number of services available 	Coordinate with Adams County to create a resource guide staff can use to direct homeless individuals to the right services that they would qualify for		•	•	•	•		increased access, equity, human dignity
• Decrease residential energy usage by 25% by 2038	Green and Healthy Homes • Home with toxic/pollutant issues • Home energy score	Adopt Green and Healthy homes policies			•	•			increased access, equity, human dignity, energy efficiency, public health, green buildings, holistic policy
			ASSESSMENT						
---------	------------	--	--	----------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------	----------------------------	-------------------
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
		Create a Tool Library Program – a co-op for equipment shared in a neighborhood setting – e.g. Lawnmower, chain saw etc.		•	•	•	•		•
									increased access,
									equity, energy
									efficiency, waste
									reduction,
									circular economy

GOAL AREA

Human Dignity

1. Community members are able to meet their basic needs and are empowered to enhance the quality of their lives;

4. The City of Northglenn forms collaborative partnerships with service providers and communicates residents' needs to them.

2. The City provides community members with resources to educate and connect them to housing, health, education, economic opportunity, and cultural and recreational services and programs.

3. There is respect for and appreciation of the value added to the community by differences among its members.

			ASSESSIVIENT							
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits	
 Connect 100% of households needing energy and water bill assistance with resources that can help Maintain current participation level in food programs at the Rec 	 Participation/utilization of food programs offered by the City (Kids Café, Kids Café Summer Program, Family/Youth Tots for Hope) Proportion of households meeting energy and water bills 	Connect residents to Colorado Low- income Energy Assistance Program (LEAP)		•	•	•	•		increased access, equity	
	 Grams at the Rec hter ormation and the community programs and r bill assistance rams Community participation in SNAP and WIC when eligible • Poverty levels • Disability access 	• Community participation in SNAP and nunity and WIC when eligible • Poverty levels • Disability access	Direct residents to payment options for water billing through the City of Northglenn	•	•	•		•	•	increased access, equity
programs		Help residents apply for a Utility Bill Assistance grant through the Northglenn Community Foundation			•	•	•	•	increased access, equity	
		Create a resource guide that provides information to staff about services provided by external agencies so staff can provide better customer service by connecting residents to the right resources	•		•	•	•		increased access, equity, employee education	
 Increase participation in both evidence based programs and general fitness classes to 10% by 2025 Increase Silver Sneakers participation 15% by 2025 Increase Senior Center attendance 10% by 2030 	 Participation in Evidence based programs and general fitness classes offered at the Rec Center Participation in Senior Center Activities Silver Sneakers membership # of Volunteers Attendance in recreation center 	Implement and grow Neighborhood Engagement Program (incl. Sustainable Neighborhoods Program)			•		•		increased access, equity, community building	

						ASSESSM	ENT		
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
Increase Citywide Volunteer base by 5% by 2030	Number of participants at City Events	Diversify Senior Center programing to meet the needs of baby boomers							
									increased access, equity, community building
		Conduct the DRCOG Boomer Bond assessment				•	•	•	
									increased access, equity
		Continue to grow participation in Snow Stormers program					•		
									increased access, equity
		Help facilitate the Community to feel comfortable to come into the Rec Center to participate in food programs		•			•		
									increased
									public health, nutrition
		Join regional collaboration of RX for Health – promoting recreation center usage through partnerships with health care providers to prescribe			•	•	•		
		physical activity at local rec center (prescription offers 1 month free							increased
		family membership)							public health

GOAL AREA

Arts, Culture & Events

- 1. Develop and nurture quality programs for the City of Northglenn's arts, culture and events programs.
- 2. Increase connectivity, allowing all people access to community classes, events and programs.
- 3. Create a thriving set of sustainable, creative and diverse event programs.

			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
 Maintain programming levels for both City sponsored and externally sponsored theater events Increase participation and attendance in theater events by 10% by 2030 	 Number of event participants Accessibility Affordability Public art pieces Partnerships (with external organizations that bring culturally diverse programming) 	Continue collaboration with outside organizations bringing programs to the theater		•	•		•		increased access, equity, community representation, diversity
 Maintain theater participation affordability Increase participation in Citywide events by 5% by 2030 Maintain affordability and accessibility while growing recreation and theater 		Continue to provide scholarship opportunities for theater participants that could not otherwise afford it				•	•		increased access, equity, community representation, diversity
programs by 10% by 2030		Encourage artist diversity							increased access, equity, community representation, diversity

GOAL AREA

Community Education and Civic Participation

High Level Goals:

1. Community members of all demographics participate actively and effectively in civic affairs and community improvement efforts.

2. Through effective education and messaging, community members of all ages understand the basic principles of sustainability and use them to guide their decisions and actions.

			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
 Increase voter registration by 10% by 2020 Increase voting rates by 15% by 2020 	 Voter participation Diversity in city committees 	Provide voting materials in appropriate languages	•				•		increased access, equity, community representation, democracy
		Explore voting poll locations in coordination with the County to ensure the accessibility for all Northglenn residents			•	•	•	•	increased access, equity, community representation, democracy
		Provide voter registration opportunities as City events			•				increased access, equity, community representation, democracy

			ASSESSMENT						
TARGETS	INDICATORS	POSSIBLE STRATEGIES	Initial Capital investment required	Ongoing/co ntinued funding	Additional staff resources	Existing work plan item	GHG benefit	Aligns with Other Plans	Co-benefits
		Provide computer stations at City Hall that are open and accessible to the public to use to register to the vote or update registration – these stations can also be used to access City information, Human Social Services programs and job searching			•	•	•		increased access, equity, community representation, democracy
		Expand venues in which we recruit for open board and commission positions in order to be inclusive to all members of the community			•		•		increased access, equity, community representation, democracy
 Launch education campaign with at least X volunteer participation Hold at least X outreach events Hold at least X business education event 	Community Education • Education campaigns	Launch volunteer climate ambassador campaign to educate public			•				increased access, equity, community representation, democracy, community education

Memorandum

- To: City of Northglenn, CO
- From: Andrew Knudtsen and Elliot Kilham, Economic & Planning Systems and Angie Fyfe and Hoi-Fei Mok, ICLEI
- Subject: Sustainability Strategies Cost-Benefit Analysis Electric Vehicles and Synthetic Turf, EPS #173079

Date: May 23, 2018

The Economics of Land Use



Economic & Planning Systems, Inc. 730 17th Street, Suite 630 Denver, CO 80202-3511 303 623 3557 tel 303 623 9049 fax

Denver Los Angeles Oakland Sacramento

www.epsys.com

Project Background

As part of the Sustainability Audit and Work Plan, Economic & Planning Systems (EPS), working closely with ICLEI and the City of Northglenn, has created preliminary cost-benefit analyses and models for two sustainability strategies: (1) installation of synthetic turf to reduce water consumption and (2) transitioning the City's fleet to electric vehicles to reduce carbon emissions. The two sustainability strategies were identified through the sustainability audit and conversations with ICLEI and the City's Sustainability Team.

These analyses are part of a first phase of due diligence to help determine magnitude of potential cost and benefits and prioritization for next phases of the Sustainability Work Plan. The analyses also are meant to serve as examples of how the City can work toward prioritizing potential sustainability programs and to identify the least cost or highest benefit initiatives for accomplishing the City's sustainability goals. Finally, EPS has built the cost-benefit models as tools for policy-making, allowing the City to test different scenarios and to update the models' inputs as factors change over time.

This memorandum summarizes preliminary results from the two potential programs, including estimates of costs and benefits as well as key drivers and sensitivities of model results. The analysis focuses on high level results and findings with more detailed results available as modeling assumptions become more refined. The memorandum first reviews the cost and benefits of installing **synthetic turf** and then goes on to review cost and benefits of transitioning to **electric vehicles**. At the end of each section is a discussion of additional cost and benefits not quantified in the model as well as potential next steps for the City to consider for these strategies.

Synthetic Turf

This section summarizes the cost and benefits of investing in a synthetic turf field compared to leaving Northglenn fields as natural grass.

- **Costs:** There is an upfront capital investment in converting a natural grass field to a synthetic turf field, including the purchase of new equipment to maintain the field. It is also assumed that after 15 years, the turf on the field will need to be replaced. Partially counterbalancing these high upfront costs for synthetic turf are lower maintenance costs (O&M) compared to natural grass.
- **Benefits:** The primary benefits of a synthetic turf field considered in this model are an increase in playing time for the field and, perhaps most importantly, a decrease in water use compared to natural grass.

Model Dimensions and Variables

In this model, EPS compares the cost and benefits of leaving Northglenn fields as natural grass, which does not require further capital investment, versus investing in synthetic turf. The key factors for the model are summarized in **Table 1**. Users of the model are encouraged to input the field size as well as specific financial factors (i.e. the cells highlighted in **blue**). Other factors in the model, including annual hours, annual water usage, and estimates of field cost are based either on Northglenn, Colorado specific factors for natural grass, or Broomfield, Colorado specific factors for synthetic turf. Broomfield is a local Colorado community that had previously invested in a synthetic turf complex.

Units Nautral Grass Description Synthetic Turf INPUTS AND FACTORS Program 85.000 85.000 Field Size sq. ft. Life-Cycle years 25 25 Replacement NA 15 year Financial **Discount Rate** % 3.00% 3.00% Cost Escalator % 2.00% 2.00% Hour Usage 2,000 Annual Hours hrs/field/year 600 Water Usage Annual Water Usage 36 0 gallons/sq. ft./year **Field Costs** Initial Capital Cost (2018) \$/sq. ft. \$0.00 \$26.00 Replacement Cost (2018) \$/sq. ft. \$0.00 \$5.25 Annual Maintenance (2018) \$/sq. ft. \$0.29 \$0.11 Equipment \$ \$0.00 \$23,000

Table 1 Synthetic Model Inputs and Factors

Result Summary

The cost and benefits of synthetic turf versus natural grass are summarized in **Table 2**. EPS and the City of Northglenn estimate that synthetic turf will result in an additional 1,400 hours per year of use or a total 35,000 more hours of use over the life cycle of the field. In addition, a synthetic turf field will result in annual water savings of 3.09 million gallons of water per year or a total of 77.25 million gallons over 25 years. The vast majority of these water savings would be non-potable water. To achieve these benefits, however, the synthetic turf field would cost \$2.3 million more than natural grass over the life cycle of the field. Much of this cost is due to the upfront capital investment.

Table 2

Description	Units	Nautral Grass	Synthetic Turf
LIFE-CYCLE BENEFITS & COSTS SUM	MMARY		
BENEFIT - Hour Usage			
Hours of Use	hours	15,000	50,000
Additional Hours Provided		0	35,000
BENEFIT - Water Usage			
Production	gallons	0	0
Upkeep	gallons	77,250,000	0
Total	gallons	77,250,000	0
Water Saved	gallons	0	77,250,000
COST - Field Costs			
Capital Costs	\$	\$0	\$2,233,000
Maintenance Costs	\$	<u>\$625,000</u>	<u>\$234,375</u>
Total	\$	\$625,000	\$2,467,375
Per Hour	\$	\$41.67	\$49.35
<u>Net Present Value</u>			
NPV (3.0% discount rate)	\$	\$541,094	\$2,844,257
Additional Cost	\$	\$0	\$2,303,164
Per Hour	\$	\$36.07	\$56.89

Source: City of Northglenn; Northglenn Parks Services; Economic & Planning Systems

H\173079-Northglenn Sustainability Audit and Work Program\Models\[183009-Synthetic Turf vs. Natural Grass-05-17-2018.xlsx]Dashboard

The answer to the question of whether or not a synthetic field is a worthwhile investment for Northglenn depends primarily how the City values the additional recreational hours and the water savings. For example, if users' willingness-to-pay for an additional hour of recreation in Northglenn is \$76 per hour then the value of the additional hours would exactly equal the cost of the field. In terms of water, Northglenn charges \$5.91 per 1,000 gallons of water for irrigation customers. If this price is applied to the water savings in the model, then benefits of the water savings would be valued at \$318,000. If Northglenn valued the water saved at \$43 per 1,000 gallons of water, then the value of the water saved would exactly equal the cost of turf. **In short, Northglenn would only have to value non-potable at \$0.043 per gallon to recover the cost of a synthetic turf field over 25 years**.

Sensitivity Analysis

Table 1 presents the estimate net benefit of an investment in synthetic turf under different recreation and water value scenarios. The columns show different values per hour of recreation on the field ranging from \$0 to \$100 per hour. The rows show different values of water per 1,000 gallons. Finally, as net benefits, the results take into consideration the additional cost of synthetic turf. The sensitivity analysis suggests that there are significant benefits to an investment of synthetic turf under a robust number of scenarios. Net benefits are positive if recreation is valued at more than \$76 per hour or if water is valued at approximately \$43 per 1,000 gallons.

Table 3 Sensitivity Analysis of Net Benefit

		Value Per Hour Recreation						
Description	n	\$0	\$25	\$50	\$75	\$100		
	\$0	-\$2,303,164	-\$1,545,633	-\$788,102	-\$30,570	\$726,961		
Gal Bel	\$250	\$11,148,493	\$11,906,024	\$12,663,555	\$13,421,086	\$14,178,617		
00 o	\$500	\$24,600,150	\$25,357,681	\$26,115,212	\$26,872,743	\$27,630,274		
× ,0 (al	\$750	\$38,051,806	\$38,809,337	\$39,566,868	\$40,324,399	\$41,081,930		
> ₹	\$1,000	\$51,503,463	\$52,260,994	\$53,018,525	\$53,776,056	\$54,533,587		

Source: Economic & Planning Systems

Ht/173079-Northglenn Sustainability Audit and Work Program/Models/[183009-Synthetic Turf vs. Natural Grass-05-17-2018.xlsx]T-NPV

Additional Considerations and Next Steps

The initial model shows that investment in synthetic turf could result in significant water savings. There are, however, a number of additional considerations for the City to take into account as it deliberates on synthetic turf as a potential sustainability strategy. In particular, there are a number of environmental issues and challenges associated with synthetic turf. For example, evidence suggests that synthetic turf has a higher carbon footprint and is more resource intensive. In making a determination to invest in synthetic turf, the City should consider how it values different resources, including increased recreation hours and water. Given the relative scarcity of water in Northglenn and Colorado in general, the City could essentially "purchase water" relatively cheaply by purchasing synthetic turf. (An inactive and/or water rich community may not be willing to make the same trade.) The City could also purchase carbon offsets or invest in natural environmental with stormwater retention and carbon sink benefits that don't have the same irrigation requirements as a playing field.

• Value of Additional Recreation Hours and Water Savings: The City should consider how much it values different recreation hours and water savings. These will be the primary drivers of whether or not the benefits of synthetic turf outweigh the costs. *It is important to note that value is not necessarily the same as revenue in this analysis. Currently, the City does attempt full cost recovery of recreation fields and does not charge itself for water.*

- **Demand for Field Use:** To take full advantage of the benefits of a synthetic field's higher capacity, there needs to be an equivalent amount of demand for recreation use. The City will not accrue the benefits of surplus hours where supply is greater than demand.
- Peak Water Demand and Impact on Water Rights: Value of water is not constant, and the value/price of water will increase during peak water demand periods. In addition, the City currently may not have an adequate amount of senior water rights to meet demand at buildout. The marginal cost of saving a gallon of water is likely much cheaper than the marginal cost of purchasing additional water rights. *The non-potable water save can also be treated and sold as potable water for new developments, such as Karl's Farm.*
- **Injury Risk:** There is a lack of consensus on whether or not synthetic turf increases risk of injury. Studies have showed a higher frequency of injuries for first and second generation of turf, but there is insufficient evidence for third generation turf. For third generation turf, there do appear to be differences in injury patterns if not higher injury rates.
- **Heat Impact:** Synthetic turf absorbs heat, resulting in higher surface and air temperature. During hotter months in Colorado, synthetic turf can become unplayable. Watering the turf can reduce the temperature and allow play to continue, but temperatures rebound quickly. Watering the turf also reduces the water savings benefits of the turf. In addition to the impact on play and operation, synthetic turf can contribute the overall heat island effect.
- **Stormwater Retention:** Synthetic turf has minimal stormwater retention, which can lead to increased runoff and other environmental problems. It is possible to include drainage systems that compensate for their inability to absorb water. These increase the upfront costs of the turf.
- **Carbon Footprint and Sink:** Studies have shown that synthetic turf has a higher carbon footprint than natural turf over its life cycle. The carbon footprint of synthetic turf comes from it production, transportation, and disposal. The carbon footprint of natural grass comes from the installation and maintenance (e.g. fertilizer production, mowing and lawn management). Moreover, natural grass is a carbon sink, converting carbon dioxide to oxygen. The City could help offset these impacts by—for example—planting more trees.
- **Disposal:** Synthetic turf is not designed to breakdown quickly, which results in end of life disposal challenges associated with removal, transportation, and landfill charges. It is possible to recycle turf; this, however, just delays the disposal. There do appear to be some more creative recycling options such as cement plants that turn synthetic turf into a clean burning energy source.
- **Changing Technology:** Synthetic turf technology is improving, including products that are less heat absorbing and potentially more recyclable. The City should keep track of these potential improvements as it considers this sustainability strategy in the future.
- **Grant Funding:** There is also a possibility that the City could pursue grant funding to cover some or all of the capital outlay for the field.

Electric Vehicles

This section summarizes the cost and benefits of transitioning the municipal fleet to electric vehicles.

- **Costs:** Electric vehicles (EV) typically have higher capital costs than vehicles with internal combustion engines (ICE) powered by fossil fuels. EVs also require additional capital investment in charging infrastructure. However, EVs have lower fuel and maintenance costs (O&M), which can help make up for the higher capital costs over time.
- **Benefits:** The benefits of electric vehicles include reduced emissions as well as reduced air pollution. In addition, municipal charging stations can help encourage City employees and potentially the wider public to purchase EVs. In this analysis, EPS focuses on emission reductions as the primary benefit from electric vehicles.

Model Dimensions and Variables

EPS focuses on a simple, but scalable question – *what are the costs and emission benefits from purchasing an EV compared to an ICE?* The model answers this question for two types of vehicles: light duty sedans and heavy duty pickups. Most EV options currently are for sedans; however, there are an increasing number of options for other vehicle types, including SUVs, minivans, pickups, and even heavier duty trucks and vans. (As of now, there are no EV options for police vehicles, but, given the rate of change in the industry, there could be options available in the near future.)

Within the model, users can select different types of EV and ICE and compare their cost and benefits. This memo focuses on a comparison between a Ford Focus (ICE) and a Nissan Leaf (EV) for sedans and a Silverado 3500 (ICE) and Workhorse W-15 for heavy pickups. In the model, users are encouraged to input how many miles per year they expect to drive their vehicles, specific financial factors, and whether or not the municipality will be able to take advantage of state and local tax incentives. The inputs are highlighted in **blue** in **Table 4** below.

		Sedar	n	Heavy Pic	Heavy Pick-Up				
Description	Units	ICE	EV	ICE	EV				
INPUTS									
Vehicle									
Туре	model	Ford Focus	Nissan Leaf	Silverado 3500	Workhorse W-15				
Operation									
Life-Cycle	years	10	10	10	10				
Lease	years	3	3	3	3				
Usage	miles/year	3,905	3,905	14,000	14,000				
Financial									
Discount Rate	%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%				
Price Index - New Vehicle		0.14%	0.14%	0.14%	0.14%				
Price Index - O&M Costs	%	2.73%	2.73%	2.73%	2.73%				
Incentives									
Federal Tax Credits	Yes/No	No	Yes	No	Yes				
Percent Tax Credit	%	0%	100%	0%	100%				
State Credits (for leasing)	Yes/No	No	Yes	No	Yes				
Percent Tax Credit	%	0%	100%	0%	100%				
Charge Ahead Colorado (CAC)	Yes/No	No	Yes	No	Yes				
Percent Increment	% Increment	0%	80%	0%	80%				

Table 4 Electric Vehicle Model Inputs

Factors used in the model are based on the vehicle types selected (e.g. fuel economy) and, when possible, Colorado-specific cost and emission factors, as shown in **Table 5**. For example, capital vehicle costs are based off of prices listed in the Colorado State Bid List for municipal vehicle purchases, and emission factors are based on Northglenn's specific mix of electric generation, including the percent of renewables.

There are both federal and state tax credits for purchasing an EV. It is not entirely clear that municipalities can take advantages of these tax incentives as they pay no taxes. For purchase of vehicles, the federal tax code appears to provide a carve out that allows the dealership to claim the tax benefit and pass on savings to the local government. The State of Colorado Regional Air Quality Council (RAQC) also provides funding to local governments through its Charge Ahead Colorado (CAC) Program, which offers to fund 80 percent of the incremental cost of a EV compared to an ICE—with a limit of \$8,260. Finally, the State offers a tax credit between \$5,000 and \$10,000 for private residents, depending on the type of the benefits. It is possible that this tax credit could be passed along to local governments in lease payments.

In the results of the model, EPS reports the life cycle costs (as a net present value) and total emissions of the different vehicle types. The section includes cost estimates for both purchasing price and leasing vehicles. The lease functionality of the model has been incorporated to respond to Northglenn Public Works. For simplification, this memo focuses the cost and benefits of purchasing; benefits from leasing are primarily operational, and should be looked at in later stages of analysis.

		Seda	n	Heavy Pi	ick-Up
Description	Units	ICE	EV	ICE	EV
FACTORS					
Vehicle					
Combustion Type		ICE	BEV	ICE	PHEV
Electric Range	miles	NA	151	NA	80
Colorado State Bid Pricing	Yes/No	No	Yes	Yes	No
Cost					
Purchase					
Car	\$	\$14,332	\$24,897	\$25,320	\$52,000
<u>Lease</u>					
Upfront Payment	\$	\$1,433	\$1,240	\$2,532	\$3,450
Annual Payment	\$/yr	\$2,753	\$2,381	\$4,863	\$6,627
O&M and Fuel Costs					
Charging Infrastructure	\$	\$0	\$1,435	\$0	\$1,435
Annual O&M	\$/mile	\$0.142	\$0.125	\$0.142	\$0.135
Incentives					
<u>Purchase</u>					
Federal Tax Credit	\$/yr	\$0	\$7,500	\$0	\$7,500
Charge Ahead Colorado (CAC)	\$	\$0	\$2,452	\$0	\$8,260
<u>Lease</u>					
Federal Tax Credit	\$/yr	\$0	\$7,500	\$0	\$7,500
State	\$/yr	\$0	\$5,000	\$0	\$10,000
Fuel & Emissions					
Fuel Economy	miles/unit	30 mpg 30	0 kWh/100 miles	14 mpg	30 kWh/100 miles
Fuel	units/year	130 gallons	1,172 kWh	1,000 gallons	4,200 kWh
Fuel Costs	\$/unit	\$2.11	\$0.0619	\$2.11	\$0.0619
Emissions	lbs CO2/units	19.6	1.32	19.6	1.32

Table 5 Electric Vehicle Model Factors

Result Summary

The primary driver of cost and benefits are the capital costs of EVs and miles driven per year. As mentioned previously, capital costs tend to be higher for EVs. However, the upfront costs for EVs are dropping steadily as battery technology improves. In the shorter term, incentives are potentially available for local governments. Incentives can reduce the upfront capital cost of a vehicle by approximately \$10,000 for sedans and \$16,000 for heavy pickups (**Table 6**). In turn, this can reduce the cost differential between EV and ICE from approximately \$10,565 to \$613 for sedan and from \$26,680 to \$10,920 for heavy pickups—depending on the number of miles driven per year (**Figure 1**).

Table 6 Purchase Price of Vehicles

	Seda	n	Heavy Pick-Up			
Description	ICE	EV	ICE	EV		
Before Incentives	\$14,332	\$24,897	\$25,320	\$52,000		
Federal Incentives	\$0	-\$7,500	\$0	-\$7,500		
Charge Ahead Colorado (CAC)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>-\$2,452</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>-\$8,260</u>		
After Incentives	\$14,332	\$14,945	\$25,320	\$36,240		

Source: Economic & Planning Systems

Figure 1 EV Cost Differential Compared to ICE



Source: Economic & Planning Systems

Usage impacts the cost comparison as fuel cost and O&M costs are cheaper for EVs; in the model, both costs are based on the number of miles driven per year. Summary statistics for a sample of vehicles driven in Northglenn are shown in **Table 7**. Focusing on all departments—excluding police—light duty vehicles like sedans are driven a median of approximately 3,900 miles per year while heavy pickups are driven a median of 5,100 miles per year. Police vehicles are driven at a much higher rate than vehicles from other department with a median usage of 10,000 miles per year.

Description	Minimum	Quartile 1	Median	Quartile 3	Maximum	Average
All Departments						
Light Duty Vehicles	83	3,494	6,423	11,343	19,173	7,641
Heavy Pickups	731	2,256	5,092	7,532	14,288	5,059
All Types	83	2,660	5,655	8,810	19,173	6,400
All Departments - Excluding Police						
Light Duty Vehicles	1,774	2,999	3,905	5,981	12,823	4,930
Heavy Pickups	<u>731</u>	2,256	<u>5,092</u>	7,532	14,288	<u>5,059</u>
All Types	731	2,438	4,606	7,350	14,288	5,016
Police Department						
Light Duty Vehicles	83	6,493	10,063	13,725	19,173	9,770
Heavy Pickups	NA	NA	NA	ŃA	NA	NA
All Types	83	6,493	10,063	13,725	19,173	9,770

Table 7 Northglenn Fleet Mileage Per Year, 2017

Source: City of Northglenn; Economic & Planning Systems

The cost and benefits of purchasing an EV are shown in **Table 8**; the reported results are the life cycle costs and benefits, assuming a 10-year lifespan, which is the approximate battery life for an EV, and assuming the median usage rate. For sedans, without incentives, an EV costs \$9,639 more over its life cycle than an ICE. With incentives, an EV costs \$313 less over a life cycle. The EV produces 5,000 fewer pounds of CO2. For the heavy pickups, without incentives, an EV costs \$28,795 more than an ICE. With incentives, an EV costs \$13,035 more. However, given the relatively poor fuel efficiency of an ICE pickup compared to the sedan, an EV saves an estimated 46,000 pounds of CO2 over the life cycle of the vehicle.

Table 8

Electric Vehicle Life cycle Cost and Benefit Summary (10 years)

		Sedan		Heavy F	Pick-Up
Description	Units	ICE	EV	ICE	EV
COSTS					
Without Incentives					
Net Present Value	\$	\$21,994	\$31,634	\$62,247	\$91,042
Difference	\$	\$0	-\$9,639	\$0	-\$28,795
With Incentives					
Net Present Value	\$	\$21,994	\$21,682	\$62,247	\$75,282
Difference	\$	\$0	\$313	\$0	-\$13,035
BENEFITS					
Emissions					
Production	lbs CO2	14,000	19,000	14,000	19,000
Operation	lbs CO2	<u>25,513</u>	<u>15,470</u>	71,288	<u>20,173</u>
Total	lbs CO2	39,513	34,470	85,288	39,173
Difference	lbs CO2	0	5,042	0	46,115

Source: Economic & Planning Systems

Sensitivity Analysis

As mentioned, the two key drivers of costs and benefits in this model are the capital costs, driven largely in the short term by the level of subsidy available, and the vehicle usage. **Table 9** provides estimates of the cost differential between ICE and EV as well as the emission savings based on assumptions about the percent total subsidy achievable and the miles driven per year. The table also translates the carbon reduction into a dollar amount using an estimate of the social value of carbon reduction compiled by the Interagency Working Group (IWG). The IWG was formed by the Obama Administration in 2010. The green in the table indicates that EVs will lead to savings for Northglenn over the lifetime of the car.

Overall, the sensitivity analysis can be used to determine when it would be most beneficial to purchase an EV. For example, for both vehicle types, benefits of EVs increase with level of subsidy and annual miles driven. For sedans, the sensitivity analysis reveals cost savings at about 6,000 miles per year and approximately 75 percent of the available subsidy—which equates to a \$7,500 reduction in capital cost for the vehicle. Unlike sedans, EPS estimates that costs for heavy pickup EVs will be greater than ICE unless there is a subsidy around 75 percent and the vehicles are driven 12,000 miles per year. However, the model also shows that the emission reductions for heavy pickup are much greater than the emission reductions for sedans.

			Bene	fit				
			Emission Re	eduction				
Des	cription	0%	25%	50%	75%	100%	lbs/CO2	\$ [1]
3ED	AN							
		<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$2,488</u>	<u>\$4,976</u>	<u>\$7,464</u>	<u>\$9,952</u>		
	3,000	-\$10,186	-\$6,573	-\$3,710	-\$1,597	-\$234	2,715	\$110
۲	6,000	-\$8,373	-\$4,760	-\$1,897	\$216	\$1,579	10,430	\$321
les	9,000	-\$6,559	-\$2,946	-\$83	\$2,030	\$3,393	18,145	\$531
Σ	12,000	-\$4,746	-\$1,133	\$1,730	\$3,843	\$5,206	25,860	\$742
	15,000	-\$2,932	\$681	\$3,544	\$5,657	\$7,020	33,574	\$952
HEA	VY PICK-	UP						
	_	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$3,940</u>	<u>\$7,880</u>	<u>\$11,820</u>	<u>\$15,760</u>		
	3,000	-\$24,533	-\$20,593	-\$16,653	-\$12,713	-\$8,773	25,115	\$722
Ϋ́	6,000	-\$20,951	-\$17,011	-\$13,071	-\$9,131	-\$5,191	55,230	\$1,543
les	9,000	-\$17,369	-\$13,429	-\$9,489	-\$5,549	-\$1,609	85,345	\$2,365
Μi	12,000	-\$13,787	-\$9,847	-\$5,907	-\$1,967	\$1,973	115,460	\$3,186
	15,000	-\$10,204	-\$6,264	-\$2,324	\$1,616	\$5,556	145,574	\$4,008

Table 9 EV Cost Benefit Sensitivity Analysis

[1] Assumes social value of CO2 reduction is \$0.02/lb CO2 based on Obama Administration's Interagency Working Group estimates on the social value of carbon reduction.

Source: Economic & Planning Systems

Additional Considerations and Next Steps

There are a number of additional consideration and next steps for this analysis; many of which were identified during the research for the cost and benefits as well as discussions with staff from the Northglenn Public Works Department. These include the following:

- **Infrequent of Use Vehicles:** EPS's review of Northglenn's municipal vehicle report revealed that there are a significant number of vehicles in the City's fleet that are infrequently used with some vehicles not being used at all. Reducing the number of vehicles purchased and implementing a vehicle sharing system or other types of strategies has the potential to lead both to significant cost savings for the City as well as emission benefits.
- Fleet Management: This study focused primarily on a comparison of purchasing one vehicle. However, as part of the next steps, it will be important think about a fleet management strategy on the best way to transition to EVs. This strategy may include maintenance plans, decisions on purchasing versus leasing, availability of incentives, and rates of transition.
- **Tax Incentives and Subsidy:** The City should further research what tax incentives are available for local government, either directly through the state or as a pass through from the dealerships. The City should reach out to other municipalities in the ICLEI network in Colorado that have experience with transitioning to EVs.
- **Improving EV Technology and Changing Prices:** EV technology has been improving at a relatively rapid rate, increasing vehicle range as well as decreasing costs. As part of the evaluation into when and how to transition to EVs and their costs and benefits, it will be important to monitor these changes, as well as the price of fossil fuels.
- **Changes to Power Generation Mix:** An increase in the percentage of renewables as a percentage of power generation will continue to increase the emissions benefits of EVs. The cost-benefit model should be updated to reflect these changes in the power generation mix as well as changes in ICE fuel efficiency.
- EVs for Municipal Employees and Wider Public: Investment in charging stations for the municipal fleet could also benefit City employees interested in purchasing EVs. The municipal fleet would most likely be charged at night, allowing employees to take advantage of the infrastructure in the daytime. In addition, the charging station infrastructure could be made available to the wider public, which would help promote EV use in the region.
- **Air Quality Benefits:** Emissions for EVs occur at the site of non-renewable power generation, and not locally. In contrast, ICE vehicles are the point-source of carbon dioxide emission and other potentially hazardous pollutants. As a result, EVs provide air quality benefits for Northglenn and the Denver Metro Area.
- Idling: During EPS's research, a number of City staff mentioned that idling for extended periods of time was common for a number of departments, including police, trash vehicles, graffiti trucks, and TV van. Data were not available for idling times of the municipal fleet, and, as currently structured, the model does not take into consideration idling times. Instead, emissions estimates are based on miles driven per year. Incorporating idling into the model would likely increase the benefits of EVs.
- **Hybrid Vehicles & Compressed Natural Gas (CNG):** In future analyses, the City may explore the cost and benefits of purchasing hybrid vehicles and converting to CNG.

Attachment 3

NORTHGLENN SUSTAINABILITY STRATEGIES

Preliminary Cost-Benefit Analysis: Synthetic Turf & Electric Vehicles

ECONOMIC & PLANNING SYSTEMS | May 23, 2018 Sustainability Program Update - Page 54 of 73



ABOUT EPS - PROJECT TEAM



Andrew Knudtsen | Managing Principal Principal-In-Charge | Project Manager



Elliot Kilham | Associate

Primary Analyst

ABOUT EPS – EXPERTISE



REAL ESTATE ECONOMICS

EPS advances realistic and achievable land use and development programs with rigorous market and financial analysis.



PUBLIC FINANCE

EPS assembles comprehensive financing plans, funding sources, and tools for public infrastructure and services.



LAND USE & TRANSPORTATION

EPS informs land use and transportation planning with socio-economic fundamentals.



ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & REVITALIZATION

EPS fosters economic vitality and opportunity in distressed, transitioning, or under-served neighborhoods and regions.



FISCAL & ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

EPS identifies the economic and budgetary implications of land use projects, activities, and policies.



HOUSING POLICY

EPS crafts housing policies and strategies that address regional needs, market realities, and community objectives, including affordability.



PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP (P3)

EPS combines public-and privatesector resources for innovative development projects and partnerships.



ECONOMICS

EPS provides economic strategies and analysis that support the use of land for parks, recreation, agriculture, and habitat conservation.

PROJECT OVERVIEW

- Economic & Planning Systems (EPS), working with ICLEI and Northglenn staff, selected and created preliminary cost-benefit analyses for two potential sustainability strategies
 - 1. Installation of synthetic turf to reduce water consumption
 - 2. Transitioning the City's fleet to **electric vehicles** to reduce carbon emissions
- The preliminary cost-benefit analyses are meant to:
 - Be the first phase of due diligence to size cost and benefits
 - Help work toward prioritizing strategies for the next phase of the Sustainability Work Plan
 - Serve as examples for prioritization of future potential strategies
 - Identify the least cost and highest benefit initiatives for accomplishing City goals
- Detailed memorandum and cost-benefit model will be made available

AGENDA

1. Introduction

- About EPS
- Project Overview

2. Synthetic Turf

- Model Dimensions and Key Variables
- Results Summary & Sensitivity Analysis
- Additional Considerations and Next Steps

3. Electric Vehicles

- Model Dimensions and Key Variables
- Results Summary & Sensitivity Analysis
- Additional Considerations and Next Steps

MODEL OVERVIEW

Overview

- Comparing investment in synthetic turf vs. leaving field as natural grass
- 25-year lifespan assumption
- 15-year turf replacement
- 85,000 sq. ft. field (~size of soccer field)

Costs

- Upfront capital investment (including purchasing new equipment)
- Replacement cost after 15 years

Benefits

- Increased playing time on field
- Reduced water consumption
- Lower O&M costs

MODEL INPUTS AND FACTORS

- Local Calibration
 - Important to calibrate model to local climate
 - Northglenn specific inputs for natural grass
 - Broomfield specific inputs for synthetic turf
 - *Thank you to the Parks and Public Works
- User Inputs
 - Cells highlighted in **blue** are factors that model users are encouraged to change

Description	Units	Nautral Grass	Synthetic Tur	
INPUTS AND FACTORS				
Program				
Field Size	sq. ft.	85,000	85,000	
Life-Cycle	years	25	25	
Replacement	year	NA	15	
Financial				
Discount Rate	%	3.00%	3.00%	
Cost Escalator	%	2.00%	2.00%	
Hour Usage				
Annual Hours	hrs/field/year	600	2,000	
Water Usage				
Annual Water Usage	gallons/sq. ft./year	36	0	
Field Costs				
Initial Capital Cost (2018)	\$/sq. ft.	\$0.00	\$26.00	
Replacement Cost (2018)	\$/sq. ft.	\$0.00	\$5.25	
Annual Maintenance (2018)	\$/sq. ft.	\$0.29	\$0.11	
Equipment	\$	\$0.00	\$23,000	

RESULT SUMMARY

- Cost and Benefits over 25 years
- Benefits
 - 35,000 additional hours of recreations
 - 77.3 million gallon water
- Costs
 - \$2.3 million additional expense

Description	Units	Nautral Grass	Synthetic Turf	
LIFE-CYCLE BENEFITS & COSTS SU	MMARY			
BENEFIT - Hour Usage				
Hours of Use	hours	15,000	50,000	
Additional Hours Provided		0	35,000	
BENEFIT - Water Usage				
Production	gallons	0	0	
Upkeep	gallons	<u>77,250,000</u>	<u>0</u>	
Total	gallons	77,250,000	0	
Water Saved	gallons	0	77,250,000	
COST - Field Costs				
Capital Costs	\$	\$0	\$2,233,000	
Maintenance Costs	\$	\$625,000	<u>\$234,375</u>	
Total	\$	\$625,000	\$2,467,375	
Per Hour	\$	\$41.67	\$49.35	
<u>Net Present Value</u>	¢	¢544.004	¢0.044.057	
Additional Cost	ф Ф	ə541,094	\$2,844,237	
	φ	\$U	\$2,303,164	
	پ م	\$30. <i>01</i>	\$50.89	

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS - WATER

- How to value resources?
 - The results of the cost-benefit strategy depends on how you value the benefits
 - *Value different than revenue

Value of Water

- Northglenn charges \$5.91 per 1,000 gallons for irrigation customers
- At \$43 per 1,000 gallons, Northglenn would recover the cost of a synthetic turf field over 25 years
- Northglenn, as a City, does not currently pay for its use of water on City property; however, it nevertheless represents value



SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS - RECREATION HOURS

- How to value resources?
 - The results of the cost-benefit strategy depends on how you value the benefits
 - *Value different than revenue
- Value of Recreation Hours
 - At \$76 per hour, Northglenn would recover the cost of the synthetic turf field over 25 years



SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS - COMBINED

Scenarios

- Columns = Values per hour of recreation on the field ranging from \$0 to \$100 per hour
- Rows = Values of water per 1,000 gallons

Net Benefits

 Significant benefits to an investment of synthetic turf under a robust number of scenarios

		Value Per Hour Recreation							
Description		\$0	\$25	\$50	\$75	\$100			
۲.	\$0	-\$2,303,164	-\$1,545,633	-\$788,102	-\$30,570	\$726,961			
Gal Sal	\$250	\$11,148,493	\$11,906,024	\$12,663,555	\$13,421,086	\$14,178,617			
00 (ate	\$500	\$24,600,150	\$25,357,681	\$26,115,212	\$26,872,743	\$27,630,274			
v (alı v	\$750	\$38,051,806	\$38,809,337	\$39,566,868	\$40,324,399	\$41,081,930			
7 4	\$1,000	\$51,503,463	\$52,260,994	\$53,018,525	\$53,776,056	\$54,533,587			

Source: Economic & Planning Systems

H\173079-Northglenn Sustainability Audit and Work Program\Models\[183009-Synthetic Turf vs. Natural Grass-05-17-2018.xlsx]T-NPV

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

- Value of additional recreation and water savings
 - City needs to determine how it values additional recreation and water savings
- Demand for field use
 - The City will not accrue benefits if supply is greater than demand
- Peak water demand and impact on water rights
 - Value of water is not constant and will change during peak periods
 - Non-potable water save can be treated and sold as potable water for new developments such as Karl's Farm
 - Consider marginal cost of savings
- Environmental Factors
 - Injury risk
 - Heat impact
 - Carbon footprint and sink
 - Disposal and potential recycling
 - "Trading resources"
- Grant funding
 - Grant funding potentially available

MODEL OVERVIEW

- Overview
 - What are the costs and emission benefits from purchasing an electric vehicle (EV) compared to an internal combustion engine (ICE)?
 - 10-year lifespan (~ battery life of an EV)

Costs

- Higher capital costs, including investment in charging infrastructure

Benefits

- Reduced emissions
- Reduced air pollution
- Lower O&M and fuel costs

MODEL INPUTS

User Inputs

 Cells highlighted in **blue** are factors that model users are encouraged to change

Vehicle Selection

- Model allows selection of different vehicle types
- Includes sedans and heavy pickups
- Memo and presentation focuses on:
 - Ford Focus (ICE) vs. Nissan Leaf (EV)
 - Silverado 3500 (ICE) vs. Workhorse W-15 (EV)

Key Drivers

- Usage (miles driven per year)
- Incentives

		Sedan		Heavy Pi	ick-Up
Description	Units	ICE	EV	ICE	EV
INPUTS					
Vehicle					
Туре	model	Ford Focus	Nissan Leaf	Silverado 3500	Workhorse W-15
Operation					
Life-Cycle	vears	10	10	10	10
Lease	vears	3	3	3	3
Usage	miles/vear	3,905	3,905	14.000	14.000
				1	,
Financial					
Discount Rate	%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%
Price Index - New Vehicle		0.14%	0.14%	0.14%	0.14%
Price Index - O&M Costs	%	2.73%	2.73%	2.73%	2.73%
Incentives					
Federal Tax Credits	Yes/No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Percent Tax Credit	%	0%	100%	0%	100%
State Credits (for leasing)	Yes/No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Percent Tax Credit	%	0%	100%	0%	100%
Charge Ahead Colorado (CAC)	Yes/No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Percent Increment	% Increment	0%	80%	0%	80%

MODEL FACTORS

- Models factors based on vehicle selection and other inputs
- State and Federal Tax Incentives
 - Potential for municipal government to take advantage of tax incentives through pass-throughs
 - Federal = \$7,500 per vehicle
 - State = \$5,000-\$10,000 per vehicle
 - Charge Ahead Colorado = 80% of incremental cost between ICE and EV

• Lease vs. Purchase

- Model also examines question of lease vs. purchase
- Memo and presentation focus on purchase options

		Sedan	1	Heavy Pic	:k-Up
Description	Units	ICE	EV	ICE	EV
FACTORS					
Vehicle					
Combustion Type		ICE	BEV	ICE	PHEV
Electric Range	miles	NA	151	NA	80
Colorado State Bid Pricing	Yes/No	No	Yes	Yes	No
Cost					
Purchase					
Car	\$	\$14,332	\$24,897	\$25,320	\$52,000
Lease					
Upfront Payment	\$	\$1,433	\$1,240	\$2,532	\$3,450
Annual Payment	\$/yr	\$2,753	\$2,381	\$4,863	\$6,627
O&M and Fuel Costs					
Charging Infrastructure	\$	\$0	\$1,435	\$0	\$1,435
Annual O&M	\$/mile	\$0.142	\$0.125	\$0.142	\$0.135
Incentives					
Purchase					
Federal Tax Credit	\$/yr	\$0	\$7,500	\$0	\$7,500
Charge Ahead Colorado (CAC)	\$	\$0	\$2,452	\$0	\$8,260
Lease					
Federal Tax Credit	\$/yr	\$0	\$7,500	\$0	\$7,500
State	\$/yr	\$0	\$5,000	\$0	\$10,000
Fuel & Emissions					
Fuel Economy	miles/unit	30 mpg 30	kWh/100 miles	14 mpg	30 kWh/100 miles
Fuel	units/year	130 gallons	1,172 kWh	1,000 gallons	4,200 kWh
Fuel Costs	\$/unit	\$2.11	\$0.0619	\$2.11	\$0.0619
Emissions	Ibs CO2/units	19.6	1.32	19.6	1.32

Sustainability Program Update - Page 68/0/17/32 lenn Sustainability Strategies Cost-Benefit Analysis | 14

RESULT SUMMARY

Assumptions

- Assuming 0% or 100% of available incentives
- Median usage rates

Costs

- With incentives, difference between EV and ICE can be greatly reduced
- For sedans, it can be brought down to a nominal amount

Benefits

- EVs reduce CO2 emission
- Emission benefits especially large for heavy pick-ups

		Sedan		Heavy F	Pick-Up
Description	Units	ICE	EV	ICE	EV
COSTS					
Without Incentives					
Net Present Value	\$	\$21,994	\$31,634	\$62,247	\$91,042
Difference	\$	\$0	-\$9,639	\$0	-\$28,795
With Incentives Net Present Value	\$	\$21.994	\$21.682	\$62.247	\$75.282
Difference	\$	\$0	\$313	\$0	-\$13.035
	•				+,
BENEFITS					
Emissions					
Production	lbs CO2	14,000	19,000	14,000	19,000
Operation	lbs CO2	25,513	<u>15,470</u>	<u>71,288</u>	<u>20,173</u>
Total	lbs CO2	39,513	34,470	85,288	39,173
Difference	lbs CO2	0	5,042	0	46,115

Source: Economic & Planning Systems

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

Scenarios

- Columns = Percent Subsidy
- Rows = Usage (miles/year)

Sedans

 Positive benefits at 75% subsidy and/or > 6,000 miles per year

Heavy Pickups

- Positive benefits at 75% subsidy and >12,000 miles per year
- Emission reduction, however, is much greater than compared to sedans

			Bene	fit				
Percent of Subsidy							Emission R	eduction
Des	cription	0%	25%	50%	75%	100%	lbs/CO2	\$ [1]
SED	AN							
		<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$2,488</u>	<u>\$4,976</u>	<u>\$7,464</u>	<u>\$9,952</u>		
	3,000	-\$10,186	-\$6,573	-\$3,710	-\$1,597	-\$234	2,715	\$110
Ϋ́	6,000	-\$8,373	-\$4,760	-\$1,897	\$216	\$1,579	10,430	\$321
esi	9,000	-\$6,559	-\$2,946	-\$83	\$2,030	\$3,393	18,145	\$531
Μi	12,000	-\$4,746	-\$1,133	\$1,730	\$3,843	\$5,206	25,860	\$742
	15,000	-\$2,932	\$681	\$3,544	\$5,657	\$7,020	33,574	\$952
HEA	VY PICK-	·UP						
		<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$3,940</u>	<u>\$7,880</u>	<u>\$11,820</u>	<u>\$15,760</u>		
	3,000	-\$24,533	-\$20,593	-\$16,653	-\$12,713	-\$8,773	25,115	\$722
Σ	6,000	-\$20,951	-\$17,011	-\$13,071	-\$9,131	-\$5,191	55,230	\$1,543
es	9,000	-\$17,369	-\$13,429	-\$9,489	-\$5,549	-\$1,609	85,345	\$2,365
Σ	12,000	-\$13,787	-\$9,847	-\$5,907	-\$1,967	\$1,973	115,460	\$3,186
	15,000	-\$10,204	-\$6,264	-\$2,324	\$1,616	\$5,556	145,574	\$4,008

[1] Assumes social value of CO2 reduction is \$0.02/lb CO2 based on Obama Administration's Interagency Working Group estimates on the social value of carbon reduction.

Source: Economic & Planning Systems

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

- Infrequent use of vehicles
 - A significant number of vehicles in Northglenn are underused or not used at all
 - Potential benefit from improved fleet management and reducing vehicle purchases
- Fleet management
 - In next steps, it will be important to focus on fleet transition and management
- Tax incentives and subsidy
 - Further research on available incentives will be critical
- Improving EV technology, changes to power generation, and changing prices
 - EV and battery technology improving rapidly
 - Vehicle range increasing and costs decreasing
 - Increased percentage of renewable in power generation mix will increase EV benefits
 - What will happened to gas prices?
- EVs for municipal employees and the general public
 - Charging infrastructure will benefit employees looking to purchase EVs and the wider public
- Hybrid vehicles & Compressed Natural Gas (CNG)
- Air quality benefits

APPENDIX
APPENDIX

NORTHGLENN FLEET MILEAGE PER YEAR

Description	Minimum	Quartile 1	Median	Quartile 3	Maximum	Average
All Departments	00	0.404	0,400	44.040	40.470	7 0 4 4
Light Duty Venicles	83	3,494	6,423	7,343	19,173	7,641
All Types	<u>731</u> 83	<u>2,256</u> 2,660	<u>5,092</u> 5,655	<u>7,532</u> 8,810	<u>14,288</u> 19,173	<u>5,059</u> 6,400
All Departments - Excluding Police						
Light Duty Vehicles	1,774	2,999	3,905	5,981	12,823	4,930
Heavy Pickups	<u>731</u>	<u>2,256</u>	<u>5,092</u>	<u>7,532</u>	<u>14,288</u>	<u>5,059</u>
All Types	731	2,438	4,606	7,350	14,288	5,016
Police Department						
Light Duty Vehicles	83	6,493	10,063	13,725	19,173	9,770
Heavy Pickups	NA	NA	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	NA
All Types	83	6,493	10,063	13,725	19,173	9,770

Source: City of Northglenn; Economic & Planning Systems