EXPLANATORY COVER SHEET

COUNCILMAN'S BILL NO. <u>CB-1577</u>

SPONSOR: COUNCIL MEMBER PAIZ

TITLE: A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SUBSECTION 16-10-5 OF THE

MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY OF NORTHGLENN RELATING TO

UTILITY USAGE CHARGES

PURPOSE: TO AMEND THE PROCESS FOR ESTABLISHING THE RATES FOR NEW

WASTEWATER ACCOUNTS TO ALLOW FOR AN "EQR" ASSESSMENT, AND REFUNDS OR ADDITIONAL CHARGES DEPENDING ON THE

CUSTOMER'S ACTUAL USAGE

ADDITIONAL EXPLANATORY REMARKS:

| SPONSORED BY: COUNCIL MEMBER PAIZ | |
|--|---|
| COUNCILMAN'S BILL | ORDINANCE NO. |
| No. <u>CB-1577</u> Series of 2006 | Series of 2006 |
| A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SUBSECODE OF THE CITY OF NORTHGLENN RELATING | |
| BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL COLORADO, THAT: | L OF THE CITY OF NORTHGLENN, |
| Section 1. The introductory paragraph of Section Code is hereby amended to read as follows: | ion 16-10-5 of the Northglenn Municipal |
| Section 16-10-5. <u>Utility Usage Charges – Wast</u> collection, treatment and storage services provided by the City's boundaries shall be in a manner that is generally to its returned directly to the wastewater system (i.e. ACCOUNTS AND/OR ACCOUNTS WITH INSUESTABLISH A "WINTER AVERAGE," AS MAY BEOF AN "EQR" ASSESSMENT, SHALL BE ASSIDETERMINED BY THE DIRECTOR OF WATER MANAGER. FOR THIS PURPOSE, THE COMPARABLE DATA FROM SIMILAR ACCOUNTEXIST, OR MAY USE DATA SUPPLIED BY THE BILLING HISTORY IS AVAILABLE, THAT ACCOBE DETERMINED BY USING THE FIRST YEAR'S FOR CALCULATION. SHOULD THE "EQR" 12 MC ESTIMATED "EQR" ASSESSMENT, THE CITY SETHE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE RATES ACT SERVICE DURING SAID 12 MONTH PERIOD AND HAVE BEEN PAID BASED ON THE FINAL "EQR" 12 MONTH HISTORY BE MORE THAN THE VOLUME, THE CUSTOMER SHALL BE SUBSEQUADDITIONAL CHARGES. | the City to all utility accounts within the dependent upon the quantity of water that ., the accounts "return flow"). NEW FFICIENT HISTORICAL DATA TO E REQUIRED FOR DETERMINATION ESSED AN ESTIMATED VOLUME AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIRECTORMANAGER MAY USE TS, IF SUCH SIMILAR ACCOUNTS CUSTOMER. ONCE A 12 MONTH UNT'S "EQR" ASSESSMENT SHALL S ACTUAL VOLUME AS THE BASIS ONTH HISTORY BE LESS THAN THE HALL REFUND TO THE CUSTOMER TUALLY PAID FOR WASTEWATER AND THE AMOUNT THAT WOULD ASSESSMENT. SHOULD THE "EQR" ESTIMATED "EQR" ASSESSMENT |
| INTRODUCED, READ AND ORDERED POST 2006. | ΓED this day of, |
| \overline{KA} | THLEEN M. NOVAK |

Mayor

| ATTEST: | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|
| | | |
| DIANA L. LENTZ, CMC City Clerk | | |
| PASSED ON SECOND AND FIN 2006. | NAL READING this day of | <u>.</u> |
| ATTEST: | KATHLEEN M. NOVAK Mayor | |
| DIANA L. LENTZ, CMC City Clerk | | |
| APPROVED AS TO FORM: | | |
| COREY Y. HOFFMANN City Attorney | | |